



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,683	12/08/2003	Art Bertolero	021063-000510US	3268

20350 7590 03/14/2007
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

HOPKINS, CHRISTINE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3735

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

87

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,683	BERTOLERO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christine D. Hopkins	3735	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 20-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-29, 35, 36, 46-52 and 57-61 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 20-23, 30-34, 37-45 and 53-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f):
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 Aug 04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-19 have been cancelled. Claims 24-29, 35-36, 46-52 and 57-61 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7 February 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 20-23, 30-34, 37, 39-43, 45, 53-54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Paolitto et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0010346). Paolitto et al. (hereinafter Paolitto) teach a surgical apparatus and procedure for performing surgery on a beating heart via percutaneous approach. Regarding claims 20-23, 30-31, 40-43, 45, 53-54 and 56, Paolitto discloses a heart stabilizing device **20** and a heart positioning device **30**. A tissue retractor **40** provides access to the patient's heart through an incision [0102]. Heart stabilizing device **20** engages a surface of the

Art Unit: 3735

beating heart through suction imposed by a negative pressure source through a barb fitting "port" [0106]. It is noted that Paolitto teaches that multiple cannulae may be inserted for access to the thoracic cavity of the patient. For instance, one access cannula may be deployed to access the left pleural space, and another may be deployed to access the right plural space, thus allowing the use of multiple instruments within a first, second and third incision [0195]. A first coupling device and second coupling device are interpreted as cannulae **10** (one provided at two separate incisions) for accessing the thoracic cavity. Each cannula constitutes an elongated shaft (see Fig. 3A) having a proximal end and distal end, with a means for coupling with the heart stabilizing device and heart positioning device. Such a means is collet **182** of cannula **10** (or coupling device) for coupling with either a heart stabilizing device or the heart positioning device [0072].

Regarding claims 30-34, the elongate shaft comprises at least one "flexible, rigidifying portion" interpreted as permanent weir **130**. Permanent weir **130** extending around the perimeter of access cannula **10** (or "coupling device") is preferably rigid, but may also be made from a more flexible biocompatible polymeric material [0055]. A flexible arm **50** couples the first and second coupling devices with at least one stable object, such as surgical table **3** [0058]. The flexible arm enables re-positioning and re-orientation of the cannula or "coupling device" [0064]. The first and second arms are further capable of being "rigidified" after coupling with a stable object via a clamp **570** [0058].

Paolitto teaches a tissue retractor **40** having a frame (see Fig. 4) for movably holding two retractor blades **410** for retracting an incision. A lever **430** engages handle **461** to "crank" the retractor blades and thus retract the tissue for subsequent access to the patient's interior anatomy, in accordance with claims 37 and 39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paolitto et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0010346) in view of Daniel et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0068855). Paolitto discloses the invention as claimed, see rejection supra; however Paolitto does not expressly disclose a retracting blade having nerve protection means. Daniel et al. (hereinafter Daniel) teach a system and apparatus for manipulating a tissue structure within a body cavity, such as the heart, by retracting an outer portion enclosing the tissue. Regarding claim 38, Daniel teaches a retractor used with an atraumatic tissue-engaging surface **220**, wherein the tissue-engaging surface **220** comprises a rigid plate and a biocompatible elastomeric cushion engaging the heart directly ([0065] and [0069]). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have fitted a retracting device as taught by Paolitto with an elastomeric, biocompatible portion as suggested by Daniel such that

Art Unit: 3735

the portion of the device engaging the tissue to be retracted imparts as little stress to the heart tissue as possible and protects the anatomy (including that of surrounding nerves) from damage as a result of the invasive nature of the surgical instruments utilized.

6. Claims 44 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paolitto et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0010346) in view of Houser et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0060685). Paolitto discloses the invention as claimed, see rejection supra; however Paolitto does not teach inflating a tissue contacting surface of the heart stabilizing or positioning member. Houser et al. (hereinafter Houser) teach a surgical instrument for contacting and stabilizing tissue of the heart. Regarding claims 44 and 55, Houser teaches a heart stabilizing or positioning member **100** having a tissue contacting surface **120**. The contacting section may be configured to provide a variety of atraumatic surfaces, as well as ways to prevent slippage and invoke immobilization of the heart. Houser teaches a member or "port" to supply vacuum, a stream of fluid, or an inflatable medium to abut the contacting section against the surface of the heart [0113]. Furthermore, Houser teaches the introduction of an inflation medium through the same conduit for supplying vacuum, which immobilizes the heart (as in the instant application). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided an inflation medium as suggested by Houser to a heart stabilizing or positioning member as taught by Paolitto such that minimal damage is imparted to the sensitive cardiac tissue.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine D. Hopkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-9058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7 a.m.-3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Christine D Hopkins
Examiner
Art Unit 3735



Charles A. Marmor, II
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3735